1	STATE OF MINNESOTA DISTRICT COURT
2	COUNTY OF RAMSEY SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT
3	
4	The State of Minnesota,
5	by Hubert H. Humphrey, III,
6	its attorney general,
7	and
8	Blue Cross and Blue Shield
9	of Minnesota,
10	Plaintiffs,
11	vs. File No. C1-94-8565
12	Philip Morris Incorporated, R.J.
13	Reynolds Tobacco Company, Brown &
14	Williamson Tobacco Corporation,
15	B.A.T. Industries P.L.C., Lorillard
16	Tobacco Company, The American
17	Tobacco Company, Liggett Group, Inc.,
18	The Council for Tobacco Research-U.S.A.,
19	Inc., and The Tobacco Institute, Inc.,
20	Defendants.
21	
22	
23	DEPOSITION OF HALLIE S. JESSUP
24	Volume II, Pages 265 - 366
25	

1	(The following is the continued deposition
2	of HALLIE S. JESSUP, taken pursuant to Notice of
3	Taking Deposition, under Rule 30.02(f), at the
4	offices of Womble, Carlyle, Sandridge & Rice,
5	Attorneys at Law, 3300 One First Union Center, 301
6	South College Street, Charlotte, North Carolina, on
7	April 11, 1997, commencing at approximately 8:40
8	o'clock a.m.)
9	
10	APPEARANCES:
11	On Behalf of the Plaintiffs:
12	Daniel A. O'Fallon
13	Robins, Kaplan, Miller & Ciresi
14	2800 LaSalle Plaza
15	800 LaSalle Avenue
16	Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-2015
17	
18	On Behalf of B.A.T. Industries P.L.C.:
19	Kathleen L. Turland
20	Simpson Thacher & Bartlett
21	425 Lexington Avenue
22	New York, New York 10017-3954
23	
24	
25	

1	On Behalf of Lorillard Tobacco Company:
2	John C. Monica
3	Shook, Hardy & Bacon
4	One Kansas City Place
5	1200 Main Street
6	Kansas City, Missouri 64105-2118
7	
8	Howard A. Roston
9	Doherty, Rumble & Butler
10	2800 Minnesota World Trade Center
11	30 East Seventh Street
12	St. Paul, Minnesota 55101-4999
13	
14	ALSO PRESENT:
14 15	ALSO PRESENT: John P. Reilly
15	John P. Reilly
15 16	John P. Reilly Associate General Counsel, Litigation
15 16 17	John P. Reilly Associate General Counsel, Litigation
15 16 17 18	John P. Reilly Associate General Counsel, Litigation Lorillard Tobacco Company
15 16 17 18	John P. Reilly Associate General Counsel, Litigation Lorillard Tobacco Company Jon P. Ferguson
115 116 117 118 119 220	John P. Reilly Associate General Counsel, Litigation Lorillard Tobacco Company Jon P. Ferguson Attorney General of Washington
115 116 117 118 119 220	John P. Reilly Associate General Counsel, Litigation Lorillard Tobacco Company Jon P. Ferguson Attorney General of Washington 900 4th Avenue
115 116 117 118 119 220 221	John P. Reilly Associate General Counsel, Litigation Lorillard Tobacco Company Jon P. Ferguson Attorney General of Washington 900 4th Avenue Suite 2000, TB-14

268

1				INDEX	
2	EXHIBITS	5		DESCRIPTION	PAGE MARKED
3	Jessup	1019	Recor	ds Transfer Lists,	Bates
4			87929	785-888, 29890-298	95,
5			29967	-30001, 30003-3002	2,
6			30024	-30028, 30030, 300	32,
7			30035	, 29896-29966	270
8		1020	Loril	lard Tobacco Compa	ny's
9			Suppl	emental Response t	0
10			Plain	tiffs' Interrogato	ry
11			No. 5	, 10 pages	312
12		1021	Docum	ent handwritten by	
13			Couns	el, 1 page	322
14		1022	Septe	mber 3, 1996 lette	r,
15			Marti	n to Fitzpatrick,	2
16			pages		356
17					
18					
19					
20	WITNESS			EXAMINATION BY	PAGE
21	Hallie S	3. Jess	sup	Mr. O'Fallon	270
22				Mr. Monica	359
23				Mr. O'Fallon	362
24					
25					

1	(REPORTER'S NOTE: Pages 294 through 311 are labeled
2	"CONFIDENTIAL - CATEGORY II/LORILLARD TOBACCO
3	COMPANY, SUBJECT TO MINNESOTA PROTECTIVE ORDER AND
4	ADDENDUM." Pages 346-351 are labeled "CONFIDENTIAL
5	QUESTIONS CONCERNING 4A INDICES." These pages will
6	be disseminated only to counsel for Plaintiffs and
7	counsel for Lorillard Tobacco Company until further
8	clarification of The Court.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(Witness sworn.)
3	(Jessup Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1019 was
4	marked for identification.)
5	HALLIE S. JESSUP
6	called as a witness, being previously
7	sworn, was examined and testified
8	as follows:
9	ADVERSE EXAMINATION (cont'd)
10	BY MR. O'FALLON:
11	Q. Ms. Jessup, welcome back.
12	A. Thank you.
13	Q. First of all, let me just ask a quick
14	foundational question.
15	We're looking at 1017, and just so I don't have

- 16 to ask you these questions on -- on each and every
- 17 page we look at in this document, it's your
- 18 understanding that for Exhibit 1017 all of these
- 19 files were reviewed as part of the '95-'96 document
- 20 review; correct?
- 21 A. That is correct.
- 22 Q. However, you can't tell me which of these files
- 23 were actually collected; correct?
- 24 A. That is correct.
- 25 Q. And you can't tell me which, if any, of these

- 1 files were actually produced; correct?
- 2 A. That is correct.
- 3 Q. I just have some questions on certain entries
- 4 here, and -- and I want to just see if I can get some
- 5 background from you to the extent you know on what
- 6 some of these entries are. I've asked you to look at
- 7 322, and I'd specifically ask you to look at an entry
- 8 that says "John Nasar v. Brown & Williamson, et. al."
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Do you happen to know what that case was about?
- 11 A. No, sir, I don't.
- 12 Q. I'd also like you to look at 327, again two
- 13 lawsuits that appear to be referred to on this page.
- 14 One is Dorothy Robinson versus Lorillard. Do you
- 15 know what that is?
- 16 A. Yes, sir, I do. That was an employment-related,
- 17 I believe, EEO --
- 18 Q. Okay.
- 19 A. -- case.
- 20 Q. And how about TWIU? Is that a --
- 21 A. That's the Tobacco Workers International Union.
- 22 Q. So --
- 23 A. I'm not certain what that case was.
- 24 Q. I'd next like you to look at page 334. This
- 25 page refers in general to Lorillard's international

- 1 subsidiaries; is that accurate?
- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- 3 Q. Do you know whether any smoking-and-health
- 4 research was done by any of Lorillard's foreign
- 5 subsidiaries?
- 6 A. No, sir, I don't.
- 7 Q. If research were done by Lorillard's foreign
- 8 subsidiaries, based on what you know, would it have
- 9 been the practice of those subsidiaries to have sent
- 10 that research to the United States, at least one
- 11 copy?
- 12 A. I would not be familiar with that, sir.
- 13 Q. Okay. And who would be the most familiar with
- 14 that?
- 15 A. I believe Dr. Alex Spears would be.
- 16 Q. I'd next like you to look at page 341. And
- 17 again when I use these numbers, I'm referring to the
- 18 last Bates stamp number.
- 19 A. Thank you.
- 20 Q. There's a reference here to the Literature
- 21 Retrieval Division. Are you familiar with LRD?
- 22 A. Vaguely familiar.
- 23 Q. Okay. What's --
- 24 What knowledge do you have about LRD?
- 25 A. It is, I believe, used by -- it is a service

- 1 used by litigation attorneys for litigation
- 2 purposes.
- 3 Q. What documents would you be storing here from
- 4 LRD; do you know?
- 5 MR. MONICA: Object to the form of the
- 6 question. Doesn't say they're from LRD.
- 7 Q. Is that an indication that those documents are
- 8 from LRD?
- 9 A. This says Literature Retrieval Division of CTR.
- 10 I'm not certain if that's the same entity --
- 11 Q. Okay.
- 12 A. -- as --
- 13 Q. Is that your understanding what LRD means,
- 14 Literature Retrieval Division?
- 15 A. That I realize or understand is a -- a precursor
- 16 to -- to LS, Inc. I believe that is the litigation
- 17 service, but I do not know if this is the same
- 18 entity, if this is the same terminology. I don't
- 19 know.
- 20 Q. Okay. Could you tell me what those documents
- 21 were.
- 22 A. No, sir, I don't know. These -- these documents
- 23 on 341?
- 24 Q. Yes.
- 25 A. No, sir, I don't.

- 1 Q. Who would be the person most familiar with those
- 2 documents?
- 3 A. I believe it would be general counsel.
- 4 Q. For Lorillard?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And that is?
- 7 A. Arthur J. Stevens.
- 8 Q. Was he a member of CTR?
- 9 A. Sir, I don't know.
- 10 Q. I notice that there's handwritten notes that
- 11 we've seen both yesterday and today --
- 12 A. Uh-huh.
- 13 Q. -- that say relating to CTR documents that do
- 14 not destroy until you clear it through Mr. Stevens.
- 15 That's why I was asking.
- 16 A. He's our general counsel, and there was some
- 17 issue that had arisen and he just wanted to make
- 18 triple certain --
- 19 Q. Okay.
- 20 A. -- that that couldn't -- couldn't happen.
- 21 Q. There's also a "DESTROY 1995" on this page.
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- 23 Q. Does that mean these documents were destroyed,
- 24 or can I even tell that from this particular
- 25 document?

- 1 A. We cannot tell that from this document.
- 2 Q. We'd need to look at those other documents we
- 3 looked at yesterday; correct?
- 4 A. At the printout of the database, yes.
- 5 Q. So what we'd do is cross-reference, go to box 97
- 6 in the legal department and see the current status of
- 7 that box; correct?
- 8 A. That is correct.
- 9 Q. Let's look at -- at 343 next. Do you know what
- 10 this file is that states, quote, "OMISSION OF
- 11 MANDATORY HEALTH WARNING AND/OR TAR AND NICOTINE
- 12 STATEMENT, " parenthetical, "(MAKE GOOD LETTERS), " end
- 13 parenthetical?
- 14 A. No, sir, I don't.
- 15 Q. Who would be the person most knowledgable about
- 16 that?
- 17 A. Here again, general counsel.
- 18 Q. There's also a notation. There's a extreme
- 19 right-hand column, says "For Records Center Use," and
- 20 it says "322." What does that mean?
- 21 A. That is the location in the records center of
- 22 that carton of documents.
- 23 Q. Do you basically number your space in the
- 24 records center?
- 25 A. Yes, we do.

- 1 Q. Do you know approximately how many documents are
- 2 contained in your records center?
- 3 A. Individual documents?
- 4 Q. Well give or take, a rough estimate.
- 5 A. I could not estimate that.
- 6 Q. How many boxes?
- 7 A. Approximately, I think, 6,500 to 7,000.
- 8 Q. Would you next look at page 350. There's a
- 9 notation here about asbestos cases; correct?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. Is it your understanding that that's the list of
- 12 the asbestos cases that -- that were being stored at
- 13 that time?
- 14 A. According to this information in front of me,
- 15 those would be asbestos cases stored in this carton.
- 16 Q. Okay. And this information should be accurate;
- 17 correct?
- 18 A. Yes, sir, it should be accurate.
- 19 Q. And you can't tell me whether those documents
- 20 from those asbestos cases were produced in our
- 21 litigation; correct?
- 22 A. No, sir, I cannot.
- 23 Q. Could you look at page 351. There's a listing
- 24 there that says "S&H Chemworth Corporation/Reductol
- 25 V." Do you know what that refers to?

- 1 A. No, sir, I don't.
- 2 Q. Is "S&H" a word that's -- or a terminology
- 3 that's generally used at Lorillard for "smoking and
- 4 health"?
- 5 A. Generally it's said in words. I do not know if
- 6 that's what that means.
- 7 Q. Okay. And again the person most knowledgable
- 8 about that would be outside -- would be general
- 9 counsel; correct?
- 10 A. General counsel.
- 11 Q. Would you look on page 352. There's a reference
- 12 here to "Grand Jury Naegle Investigation." Do you
- 13 know what that refers to, or Naegle investigation?
- 14 A. All I know about -- I do not know anything about
- 15 the grand jury investigation, no.
- 16 Q. Naegle's an outdoor advertising firm; correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Is that an outdoor advertising firm that's used
- 19 by Lorillard?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And specifically is it an outdoor advertising
- 22 firm used by Lorillard to advertise tobacco
- 23 products?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Okay. And the person most knowledgable about

- 1 that particular investigation would be who?
- 2 A. General counsel.
- 3 Q. I'd also like you to look at 354. At the bottom
- 4 of that page and also onto the next page, 355, is
- 5 again a listing of asbestos cases; is that correct?
- 6 A. According to this listing, yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. And I think I've already cleared this up,
- 8 but part of the reason I noted this first was that
- 9 some of these seemed to be overlapping with the
- 10 others. This would just refer to documents from
- 11 those asbestos cases placed in this particular box of
- 12 documents; correct?
- 13 A. Yes, sir, that's -- that's our practice.
- 14 Q. I'd like you to look at 363. This is a letter
- 15 from Mr. Stevens to yourself indicating that certain
- 16 doc -- boxes have been taken from the records
- 17 retention center; correct?
- 18 A. That is correct.
- 19 Q. Will there also be a corresponding letter or
- 20 notation as to when those boxes are sent back?
- 21 A. Basically, sir, if I read this letter -- and I
- 22 do recall this instance -- the statement is the above
- 23 files have been returned to active file status in the
- 24 legal department.
- 25 Q. Right.

- 1 A. Therefore, they're still in the legal
- 2 department.
- 3 Q. Unless there's a records transfer list for a new
- 4 box that has those in them; correct?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. Okay. I'd also like you to look at page 364.
- 7 There's a reference at the -- towards the bottom part
- 8 of that page about an FTC investigation considering
- 9 horizontal restraints in the cigarette industry. Do
- 10 you know what that case was about?
- 11 A. No, sir, I don't.
- 12 Q. Are you aware that Lorillard in the past has had
- 13 to defend claims of antitrust violations?
- MR. MONICA: Object to the form of the
- 15 question, assumes a fact not in evidence.
- MR. O'FALLON: I'm asking the question.
- 17 A. Would you repeat the question, please.
- 18 Q. Sure. Are you aware of whether or not Lorillard
- 19 has been involved in antitrust investigations in the
- 20 past?
- 21 A. I am aware that there was a leaf antitrust
- 22 case.
- 23 Q. When was that?
- 24 A. Don't know the date, sir.
- 25 Q. Approximately?

- 1 A. I believe it was in the '70s.
- 2 O. Okay. Do you recall anything in this 1982-1983
- 3 time frame?
- 4 A. No, sir, I don't.
- 5 Q. Okay. And you're not familiar with any of the
- 6 actual documents in that box?
- 7 A. No, sir, I would not be.
- 8 Q. Would you look at 370, please. There's a
- 9 reference there to the "FTC v. LORILLARD" and "AD
- 10 SUBPOENA COMPLIANCE ACTION CONFIDENTIAL MOTION FILE
- 11 (ROPER STUDY) CORRESPONDENCE & COURT PAPERS." Are
- 12 you familiar at all with that action?
- 13 A. I'm sorry, sir, would that -- was that the first
- 14 item you were reading?
- 15 Q. No, no, it's the third one down.
- 16 A. No, sir, I'm not.
- 17 Q. And you can't tell me whether those documents
- 18 have been produced; correct?
- 19 A. That is correct.
- 20 Q. Is Roper a research organization?
- 21 A. Sir, I don't know.
- 22 Q. You aren't familiar with the Roper work at all?
- 23 A. I've heard the term, but I do not know.
- 24 Q. Could you look on page 372. About two-thirds of
- 25 the way down that page there's a heading "FTC:

- 1 Nicotine-Flavor Enhanced." Are you familiar with
- 2 that file?
- 3 A. No, sir.
- 4 Q. Do you know whether there were allegations made
- 5 by the FTC against Lorillard that they had enhanced
- 6 their cigarettes with nicotine?
- 7 A. I do not know.
- 8 Q. And you can't tell me whether or not this
- 9 particular file's been produced; correct?
- 10 A. No, sir. I do know that all these records in
- 11 this -- in this category have been reviewed.
- 12 Q. Right. My question is whether I have it. You
- 13 can't tell me whether the State of Minnesota has seen
- 14 these documents in its depository in Minnesota;
- 15 correct?
- 16 A. I cannot say that.
- 17 Q. Could you look on page 397, please.
- 18 A. 397?
- 19 Q. Yes. This particular page refers to something
- 20 called the Schenley files. Can you tell me who
- 21 Schenley is?
- 22 A. No, sir.
- 23 Q. There's also a -- down in number three it says
- 24 an antitrust file. Do you know what that is?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 Q. Many of the documents you retain are more than
- 2 20 years old; correct?
- 3 A. Many of them?
- 4 Q. Some of them.
- 5 A. Absolutely.
- 6 Q. It's been a practice to keep documents as people
- 7 retire, for instance; correct?
- 8 A. It has been.
- 9 Q. Is that a required practice in fact?
- 10 A. Yes, sir. As -- as far as business records?
- 11 Q. Yes.
- 12 A. It is a required practice.
- 13 Q. So when someone retires, there's an obligation
- 14 that they give their documents to Lorillard;
- 15 correct?
- 16 A. That is correct.
- 17 Q. Lorillard considers those documents its company
- 18 property; correct?
- 19 A. They're Lorillard documents.
- 20 Q. And what Lorillard considers to be the company
- 21 property -- property are those business records that
- 22 the individuals kept; correct?
- 23 MR. MONICA: I object to the form of the
- 24 question, calls for a legal conclusion.
- THE WITNESS: Repeat the question, please,

- 1 sir.
- 2 MR. O'FALLON: Why don't we go ahead and
- 3 have it read back.
- 4 (Record read by the court reporter.)
- 5 MR. MONICA: Same objection.
- 6 A. When someone retires or leaves us for any
- 7 reason, their records are retained by Lorillard.
- 8 Q. Okay. Now Lorillard doesn't have any interest
- 9 in their personal records; correct?
- 10 A. I would not assume so.
- 11 Q. What Lorillard wants are those records that
- 12 reflect actions or information that person had or
- 13 gathered in the course of his business with
- 14 Lorillard?
- MR. MONICA: Object to the form of the
- 16 question.
- 17 A. That's my understanding.
- 18 Q. And that would be the information that is then
- 19 sent to your facility for storage; correct?
- 20 A. That is correct.
- 21 Q. And just so we're clear, that would be
- 22 information that had to do with Lorillard's business;
- 23 correct?
- 24 A. When someone -- and I'll say this again
- 25 because -- our practice is that when someone leaves

- 1 us, their records are either given to the employee
- 2 who replaces them or retained in that area so that
- 3 the business may proceed onward or the records are
- 4 boxed up and sent to the storage facility. The
- 5 employee quite often boxes those records up and --
- 6 Q. And Lorillard considers those records to be
- 7 Lorillard property and Lorillard assets?
- 8 MR. MONICA: Object to the form of the
- 9 question.
- 10 A. From my perspective and -- and my opinion, yes.
- 11 Q. Let's look on page 401. This refers to a file
- 12 "VARIOUS MATERIALS RE: PACIFIC TOBACCO." Do you
- 13 know what that refers to?
- 14 A. No, sir.
- 15 Q. Would you look at page 430. I'd like you to
- 16 look at the last entry there, which has to do with --
- 17 it says "S&H CTR-HICKEY SPECIAL PROJECT." Do you
- 18 know what that is?
- 19 A. I know that "CTR" stands for "Council for
- 20 Tobacco Research."
- 21 Q. And in this instance "S&H" would be "smoking and
- 22 health, "wouldn't it?
- 23 A. That would be an assumption on my part.
- 24 Q. Is there any other group of words that you would
- 25 use "S&H" to stand for at Lorillard?

- 1 A. Not to my knowledge.
- 2 Q. Okay. So it is a reasonable assumption that
- 3 that would mean "smoking and health"; correct?
- 4 A. It would have to be an assumption.
- 5 Q. But you'd be one of the people most knowledgable
- 6 about that; correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 MR. MONICA: About what?
- 9 Q. I mean, you're certainly the person most
- 10 knowledgable about these particular records transfer
- 11 lists; correct?
- MR. MONICA: I'm going to object to the
- 13 form of the question. It's vague and confusing.
- 14 A. Yes, I am the most knowledgable about transfer
- 15 lists from the standpoint of retaining the records,
- 16 et cetera, from a record retention standpoint.
- 17 Q. Don't you want some uniformity in how files are
- 18 denoted in the records transfer lists?
- 19 A. For the purpose that we have, it is for
- 20 retrieval of records by individuals as needed for
- 21 whatever purpose the company has. It is not -- you
- 22 know, I would not say that that would make a
- 23 difference to me in retaining the records.
- 24 Q. You don't have any interest in what's actually
- 25 in those boxes; correct?

- 1 MR. MONICA: Object to the form of the
- 2 question, vague.
- 3 A. Certainly I care what's in the boxes from the
- 4 standpoint of my responsibilities of retaining
- 5 records, yes.
- 6 Q. But you don't have intimate knowledge of what's
- 7 in the boxes; correct?
- 8 A. Not each and every file, no.
- 9 Q. And you can't tell me whether this particular
- 10 file has been produced to Minnesota; correct?
- 11 A. I cannot.
- 12 Q. Let's go to page 442. Towards the bottom of
- 13 that page we have about four references to The
- 14 Council for Tobacco Research, CTR, and again we have
- 15 a handwritten note. Is that your handwriting?
- 16 A. Yes, it is.
- 17 Q. Is it an accepted practice at Lorillard that you
- 18 can make handwritten notes on typewritten documents
- 19 like this?
- 20 MR. MONICA: Object to the form of the
- 21 question.
- 22 A. There is no preclusion to me writing this note.
- 23 Q. And notes like this occur all the time;
- 24 correct?
- 25 A. Occasionally. I wouldn't say all the time.

- 1 Q. And they often occur for exactly this purpose,
- 2 where you need some kind of a handwritten note that
- 3 clarifies what's in the document itself; correct?
- 4 A. I'm sorry, I'm not sure I understand.
- 5 Q. Well for instance, you used this note to clarify
- 6 what was otherwise in that document; correct?
- 7 A. I used this note as an absolute safeguard to --
- 8 to make certain these documents were not destroyed.
- 9 Q. Right. In other words, it's a clarification of
- 10 what would otherwise appear in that column; correct?
- 11 A. I guess you could call it that. I'm not --
- 12 Q. And you did this for business purposes;
- 13 correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Would you look on page 447. Are you at that
- 16 page?
- 17 A. Yes, I am.
- 18 Q. Do you see several references here again to
- 19 "S&H"?
- 20 A. Yes, I do.
- 21 Q. Do you happen to know what that means?
- 22 A. It would be an opinion.
- 23 Q. And what would that opinion be?
- 24 A. My opinion would be that that does indicate
- 25 "smoking and health."

- 1 Q. And why do you say that?
- 2 A. Looking at the topics as accompanying the
- 3 information.
- 4 Q. They all appear to be topics that involve
- 5 smoking and health; correct?
- 6 A. That would be my opinion, yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. Number nine is "Philip Morris Research
- 8 Center" -- "Center Human Smoke Intake Study";
- 9 correct?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. From time to time does Lorillard receive
- 12 research from other tobacco companies' research
- 13 centers?
- MR. MONICA: Object as beyond the scope of
- 15 the notice.
- 16 A. I do not know.
- 17 Q. Lorillard kept this particular document in its
- 18 file because it thought it was important to its
- 19 business of manufacturing cigarettes; correct?
- 20 MR. MONICA: Object to the form of the
- 21 question, vague and confusing.
- 22 A. I cannot determine why it was retained by this
- 23 department or what their thoughts were.
- 24 Q. Would you assume that someone thought this
- 25 document had a business purpose?

- 1 MR. MONICA: Objection, calls for
- 2 speculation.
- 3 A. That would be my opinion.
- 4 Q. Could you look on page 450. There's a reference
- 5 there to "CTR Special Project Dr. H. Fundenberg."
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Are you familiar at all with that project?
- 8 A. No, sir.
- 9 Q. You can't tell me whether that document was
- 10 produced; correct?
- 11 A. I cannot.
- 12 Q. Could you look at page 454. At the top of this
- 13 page is a file called the "Tobacco Litigation Fund
- 14 (Special Account Number 3) and Special Account Number
- 15 4." Are you familiar with those documents?
- 16 A. No, sir.
- 17 Q. Was it your understanding that there was a
- 18 special fund set up for litigation purposes at
- 19 Lorillard?
- 20 MR. MONICA: Objection, beyond the scope of
- 21 the notice.
- 22 A. I do not know.
- MR. MONICA: I would like the record to
- 24 show that each time I make this objection beyond the
- 25 scope of the notice I am, as I have in the past,

- 1 telling counsel that this witness does not speak on
- 2 behalf of the corporation for that particular
- 3 answer.
- 4 Q. On page 456 could you take a look there. There
- 5 is a case there entitled "Robert Redford v.
- 6 Lorillard." Do you happen to know what that's
- 7 about?
- 8 A. It was with regard to the use of a -- a
- 9 trademark, I believe, for a Redford cigarette
- 10 proposed brand.
- 11 Q. Okay. And who is John J. Bresnahan? Was he a
- 12 Lorillard employee?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. Do you know what he did?
- 15 A. I don't remember his title.
- 16 Q. Could you look at page 488. This states that
- 17 this is a listing of files being sent to Lancaster,
- 18 Pennsylvania. Is there a document storage facility
- 19 in Lancaster, Pennsylvania?
- 20 A. There was.
- 21 Q. And what happened to all of these documents --
- 22 well strike that. Let's go back.
- When did that facility close?
- 24 A. I believe it was in the late '70s.
- 25 Q. And what happened to the files that were at that

STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES

P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953

- 1 facility when it closed?
- 2 A. Those files were forwarded to the Greensboro
- 3 records center.
- 4 Q. So at some point I should be able to find a
- 5 records transfer list for each and every one of these
- 6 files; correct?
- 7 A. Sir, actually you have that list.
- 8 Q. Okay. Where is that? Is that --
- 9 A. It's just the previous page, item 487.
- 10 Q. Well is 487 a transfer out or a transfer in?
- 11 A. Okay. 487 is a records transfer list to
- 12 Lancaster.
- 13 Q. Right.
- 14 A. And the files that are attached are the files
- 15 that are being sent with that transfer list --
- 16 Q. Okay.
- 17 A. -- is my understanding of how we operated at
- 18 that time.
- 19 Q. Right. What I'm saying is: Someplace I should
- 20 be able to find another list that again lists these
- 21 files being transferred back to your center;
- 22 correct?
- 23 A. Let me clarify. The Greensboro records center
- 24 was established somewhere in the late '70s. The
- 25 Lancaster center was in existence at that time, and

- 1 when the Lancaster center closed, the Greensboro
- 2 records center was opening or had opened. These
- 3 records would have been shipped to Greensboro.
- 4 Q. I know, but shouldn't you at some point have a
- 5 records transfer list that reflects the fact that
- 6 they were then shipped back to Greensboro, or are you
- 7 saying this is that list?
- 8 A. This is the transfer list --
- 9 Q. But this is --
- 10 A. -- that we currently use.
- 11 Q. But this is the transfer list transferring these
- 12 documents to Lancaster, right, or not?
- 13 A. When we say "records transfer list," that is
- 14 what is in the carton, and that transfer list would
- 15 go with that carton irrespective of where it's
- 16 stored.
- 17 Q. Okay. So the fact that you now have this
- 18 transfer list would indicate that this box is now in
- 19 your facility?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Okay, okay. Sorry for being so dense.
- Let's look on page 494. Items 10 and 11 say
- 23 cancer cases A through K and cancer cases L through
- 24 Z; correct?
- 25 A. They do.

- 1 Q. Do you know what that refers to?
- 2 A. I do not.
- 3 Q. Do you know how many cancer cases Lorillard has
- 4 had?
- 5 A. No, sir, I --
- 6 MR. MONICA: Object to the form of the
- 7 question, vague and ambiguous.
- 8 A. No, sir, I don't.
- 9 Q. Has Lorillard ever made any attempt to track the
- 10 number of cases where people allege that their cancer
- 11 was caused by Lorillard products?
- MR. MONICA: Object, beyond the scope of
- 13 the notice.
- 14 A. I do not know.
- 15 (The following portion identified as
- 16 "CONFIDENTIAL CATEGORY II/LORILLARD
- 17 TOBACCO COMPANY, SUBJECT TO MINNESOTA
- 18 PROTECTIVE ORDER AND ADDENDUM, " will be
- 19 contained only in Plaintiffs' and
- 20 Defendant Lorillard Tobacco Company's
- 21 counsels' transcripts.)

22

23

24

25

1	CONFIDENT	ΓΙΑL	- CATEGO	RY II/LORIL	LARD T	OBACC	O COMPANY
2	SUBJECT	TO N	MINNESOTA	PROTECTIVE	ORDER	AND	ADDENDUM
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

1	CONFIDENT	ΓΙΑL	- CATEGO	RY II/LORIL	LARD T	OBACC	O COMPANY
2	SUBJECT	TO N	MINNESOTA	PROTECTIVE	ORDER	AND	ADDENDUM
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

1	CONFIDENT	ΓIAL -	CATEGO	RY II/	LORIL	LARD T	OBAC	CO COMPANY	Y
2	SUBJECT	TO MI	NNESOTA	PROTE	CTIVE	ORDER	AND	ADDENDUM	
3									
4									
5									
6									
7									
8									
9									
10									
11									
12									
13									
14									
15									
16									
17									
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									

1	CONFIDENT	ΓΙΑL	-	CATEGO:	RY	II/LORIL	LARD	TOBA	.CCO	COMPANY
2	SUBJECT	TO I	MIN.	NESOTA	PF	ROTECTIVE	ORDE	R AN	ID A	DDENDUM
3										
4										
5										
6										
7										
8										
9										
10										
11										
12										
13										
14										
15										
16										
17										
18										
19										
20										
21										
22										
23										
24										
25										

1	CONFIDENT	ΓΙΑL	- CATEGO	RY II/LORIL	LARD T	OBACC	O COMPANY
2	SUBJECT	TO N	MINNESOTA	PROTECTIVE	ORDER	AND	ADDENDUM
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

1	CONFIDENT	CIAL -	CATEGO	RY II,	/LORIL	LARD '	TOBAC	CO COMPAN	1Y
2	SUBJECT	TO MI	NNESOTA	PROTE	ECTIVE	ORDE	R AND	ADDENDUN	1
3									
4									
5									
6									
7									
8									
9									
10									
11									
12									
13									
14									
15									
16									
17									
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									

1	CONFIDENT	ΓΙΑL	- CATEGO	RY	II/LORILI	LARD :	robac(CO COMPANY
2	SUBJECT	TO N	MINNESOTA	PR	OTECTIVE	ORDEI	R AND	ADDENDUM
3								
4								
5								
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								

1	CONFIDENT	ΓΙΑL	- CATEGO	RY II/LORIL	LARD T	OBACC	O COMPANY
2	SUBJECT	TO N	MINNESOTA	PROTECTIVE	ORDER	AND	ADDENDUM
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

1	CONFIDENT	ΓΙΑL	- CATEGO	RY II/LORIL	LARD T	OBACC	O COMPANY
2	SUBJECT	TO N	MINNESOTA	PROTECTIVE	ORDER	AND	ADDENDUM
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

1	CONFIDENT	ΓΙΑL	- CATEGO	RY II/LORIL	LARD T	OBACC	O COMPANY
2	SUBJECT	TO N	MINNESOTA	PROTECTIVE	ORDER	AND	ADDENDUM
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

1	CONFIDENT	ΓΙΑL	- CATEGO	RY II/LORIL	LARD T	OBACC	O COMPANY
2	SUBJECT	TO N	MINNESOTA	PROTECTIVE	ORDER	AND	ADDENDUM
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

1	CONFIDENT	ΓΙΑL	- CATEGO	RY II	/LORILI	LARD I	OBAC	CO COMPANY
2	SUBJECT	TO I	MINNESOTA	PROT	TECTIVE	ORDER	AND	ADDENDUM
3								
4								
5								
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								

1	CONFIDENT	ΓIAL -	CATEGO	RY II/LO	RILLARD	TOBACO	CO COMPANY
2	SUBJECT	TO MI	NNESOTA	PROTECT	IVE ORD	ER AND	ADDENDUM
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

1	CONFIDENT	ΓIAL -	CATEGO	RY II/LO	RILLARD	TOBACO	CO COMPANY
2	SUBJECT	TO MI	NNESOTA	PROTECT	IVE ORD	ER AND	ADDENDUM
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

1	CONFIDENT	ΓIAL -	CATEGO	RY	II/LORILI	LARD 1	COBAC	CO COMPANY
2	SUBJECT	TO MI	NNESOTA	PR	OTECTIVE	ORDEF	R AND	ADDENDUM
3								
4								
5								
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								

1	CONFIDENT	ΓIAL -	CATEGO	RY II/LO	RILLARD	TOBACO	CO COMPANY
2	SUBJECT	TO MI	NNESOTA	PROTECT	IVE ORD	ER AND	ADDENDUM
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

1	CONFIDENT	ΓΙΑL	- CATEGO	RY II/LORIL	LARD T	OBACC	O COMPANY
2	SUBJECT	TO N	MINNESOTA	PROTECTIVE	ORDER	AND	ADDENDUM
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

1	CONFIDENT	ΓIAL -	CATEGO	RY II/LO	RILLARD	TOBACO	CO COMPANY
2	SUBJECT	TO MI	NNESOTA	PROTECT	IVE ORD	ER AND	ADDENDUM
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

1	(The portion identified as "CONFIDENTIAL -
2	CATEGORY II/LORILLARD TOBACCO COMPANY,
3	SUBJECT TO MINNESOTA PROTECTIVE ORDER
4	AND ADDENDUM" was concluded, and all
5	counsel indicated on the appearances page
6	of this transcript returned to the
7	deposition room for the following
8	<pre>proceedings.)</pre>
9	(Jessup Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1020 was marked
10	for identification.)
11	MR. MONICA: After a short break, during
12	which counsel further discussed Exhibit 1019, it was
13	observed that the exhibit is clearly marked at least
14	on some pages Category I; an example would be page
15	87929867. And we discussed the fact that Category I
16	information is also entitled to special treatment at
17	depositions, and so to be cautious about this, we are
18	going to and counsel can please speak to this
19	after I'm done. As I understand, we're going to at
20	least for now have this exhibit under seal and the
21	testimony pertaining to it until we can ascertain the
22	proper treatment to be given to Category I as well as
23	Category II. It may turn out that the information
24	about which I was concerned is Category I and not
25	Category II, but still it would be entitled to

- 1 special treatment as Category I.
- 2 MR. O'FALLON: What we'll agree to do is
- 3 for now what we'll do is I think Mr. Monica's
- 4 cocounsel, Mr. Roston, is going to go through this
- 5 document to see if there are any other pages that
- 6 have the denotation of Category I on them. We'll
- 7 make sure that the court reporter then seals those
- 8 pages and they will remain sealed and not distributed
- 9 to other counsel or anybody else from this
- 10 deposition. As Mr. Monica's stated, that portion of
- 11 the deposition will be kept confidential and sealed
- 12 until such time as this issue is resolved.
- 13 Also just because I'm unclear as to what
- 14 precautions have to be taken concerning keeping those
- 15 documents safe, we're going to have those pages, once
- 16 they're sealed, they will be given to one of the
- 17 individuals who is running the videotape, and he will
- 18 then hand carry those back to Minnesota, where they
- 19 will remain sealed until we resolve the issue. Is
- 20 that acceptable?
- 21 MR. MONICA: Yes, it is. One final thing
- 22 that occurred to me, and I don't know the answer to
- 23 it, but the order may provide for the court reporters
- 24 to sign affidavits on Category I and Category II. If
- 25 they haven't done so, I would request that they do so

- 1 expeditiously.
- 2 MR. O'FALLON: They have done so. As a
- 3 matter of fact, they delivered to me prior to this
- 4 deposition signed affidavits that were affidavits for
- 5 not only the first protective order but the second
- 6 protective order, the addendum to the protective
- 7 order that specifically talked about Category I and
- 8 II. And as a matter of fact, while we were talking,
- 9 that's what I was looking for so I could just check
- 10 those. And at some point here when we take another
- 11 break and before I close my record today, I will go
- 12 back and make sure we've put this particular issue to
- 13 rest.
- MR. MONICA: Thank you very much.
- Does the State of Washington care to say
- 16 anything, Mr. Ferguson?
- MR. FERGUSON: No.
- 18 MR. MONICA: Okay.
- MR. FERGUSON: The procedure sounds
- 20 acceptable to me.
- 21 MR. MONICA: All right. Thank you.
- 22 BY MR. O'FALLON:
- 23 Q. I've next marked as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1020
- 24 Lorillard Tobacco Company's Supplemental Response to
- 25 Plaintiff Interrogatory Number 5. Specifically this

- 1 interrogatory related to various indexes that are
- 2 kept at Lorillard, and I would just like to go
- 3 through it to make sure that I understand what these
- 4 indexes are and ask you whether or not they --
- 5 they've been re -- been produced in this case. I'd
- 6 ask you to look starting at page two through the end
- 7 of the document.
- 8 First of all, are you familiar generally with
- 9 the document indexes kept at Lorillard?
- 10 A. A great many of them.
- 11 Q. Okay. On page three the first index referred to
- 12 is the reprint index.
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Are you familiar with that index?
- 15 A. I am.
- 16 Q. And this apparently relates to articles and
- 17 presentations?
- 18 A. This --
- 19 This index would relate to published articles in
- 20 the public domain, as I understand it, in our
- 21 database, in our research library, that relates to
- 22 that.
- 23 Q. Okay. Would this contain on it any documents
- 24 unpublished that have been authored by Lorillard
- 25 employees?

- 1 A. Not to my knowledge.
- 2 Q. Okay. And this is kept on a computerized
- 3 database; correct?
- 4 A. Yes, it is.
- 5 Q. Do you know whether this index has been produced
- 6 to the plaintiffs in Minnesota?
- 7 A. I know that it has been reviewed; if responsive,
- 8 produced.
- 9 Q. But you don't know whether specifically it's
- 10 been produced; correct?
- 11 MR. MONICA: I would state for counsel that
- 12 it's my understanding that after we answered the
- 13 Interrogatory Number 5, that counsel for the State of
- 14 Minnesota was given an opportunity to request certain
- 15 indices, and they did request the internal index and
- 16 the stored files records index, and we have provided
- 17 both of those.
- 18 MR. O'FALLON: Okay. And were those
- 19 provided together? Are those the two -- the two
- 20 indexes that were provided in those two boxes we
- 21 discussed earlier? Do you know what I'm talking
- 22 about?
- MR. MONICA: No.
- 24 THE WITNESS: You're talking about the
- 25 stored file index.

- 1 MR. O'FALLON: The stored file index,
- 2 okay. The --
- 3 MR. MONICA: That's the RTLs.
- 4 MR. O'FALLON: The RTLs, but then there was
- 5 also the computerized box printout. Is that also the
- 6 stored records index?
- 7 MR. MONICA: The -- the -- it's my
- 8 understanding and recollection that the -- one of the
- 9 two indices was provided on tape -- or disk --
- MR. O'FALLON: Okay.
- 11 MR. MONICA: -- in addition to the hard
- 12 copy.
- MR. O'FALLON: Okay, good enough.
- 14 BY MR. O'FALLON:
- 15 Q. Are there any additional indices other than
- 16 those that are set out in this response that you know
- 17 of at Lorillard?
- MR. MONICA: Mr. O'Fallon, are you
- 19 referring to document indices because that --
- 20 MR. O'FALLON: I'm referring to document
- 21 indices for the time being.
- 22 A. Not to my knowledge.
- 23 Q. Okay. Are there any indices relating to things
- 24 other than documents that are kept at Lorillard?
- 25 A. I'm sorry, could you be a bit more -- I'm sorry,

- 1 I don't under --
- 2 Q. Well the objection caused me to -- to wonder
- 3 whether or not there's anything at Lorillard where
- 4 there are indexes to non-documentary-type subject
- 5 matters.
- 6 MR. MONICA: I -- I would state for the
- 7 record, Mr. O'Fallon, it's my understanding and
- 8 recollection that we had an agreement with the State
- 9 of Minnesota, through its counsel, that the indices
- 10 that we should reveal to them that they were
- 11 interested in were indices of company documents, and
- 12 that's what this answer pertains to.
- 13 MR. O'FALLON: I understand. I'm just
- 14 trying to determine whether there are some other
- 15 indices to something besides documents at Lorillard.
- 16 To be honest with you, Counsel, I can't imagine even
- 17 what that would be, but I ask because I'd rather know
- 18 the answer than not know the answer.
- MR. MONICA: I will have to state my
- 20 objection that it's beyond the scope of discovery and
- 21 beyond the notice.
- 22 A. I would not know.
- 23 Q. Okay. Let me ask a couple of quick questions
- 24 about electronic records.
- 25 Is it Lorillard's -- is it Lorillard's practice

- 1 to keep --
- 2 MR. MONICA: Excuse me. We're informed
- 3 that there's an urgent message for the court reporter
- 4 at the secretarial desk.
- 5 THE REPORTER: Off the record, please.
- 6 (Recess taken.)
- 7 MR. MONICA: We had another break, and as
- 8 counsels want to do, we've been talking about orders
- 9 and -- and how we can best comply with them, and
- 10 specifically on the confidential Category I material,
- 11 and it occurred to us that until we can get home and
- 12 all check the orders in both states of Minnesota and
- 13 Washington, that it would be wise to implement a
- 14 couple of additional procedures to make sure that
- 15 everything is protected properly. Above all, all
- 16 counsel have agreed that we want to comply with all
- 17 court orders. We don't have all of the orders with
- 18 us, and we haven't had the opportunity to study them
- 19 in depth in conjunction with this deposition.
- 20 So here's what we're going to do: Jon Ferguson,
- 21 representative of the State of Washington, has agreed
- 22 to return his deposition transcript from the first
- 23 day to the court reporter and not receive the
- 24 transcript for the second day until we can work out
- 25 how best to accomplish this. It is our intent to

- 1 provide Mr. Ferguson with a copy of the transcripts
- 2 consistent with all court orders, and as soon as we
- 3 work out how that will be done, we will accomplish
- 4 that.
- 5 In addition, Mr. O'Fallon has requested that the
- 6 deponent, Ms. Jessup, agree to be bound by the
- 7 Minnesota protective orders, and while I have some
- 8 doubt whether that is required since she is a
- 9 Lorillard witness and these are Lorillard documents,
- 10 I have no problem with having her state on the record
- 11 that she will comply with all court orders pertaining
- 12 to Category I and Category II and confidential
- 13 documents, and I would now request that she make that
- 14 statement on the record.
- 15 THE WITNESS: I state that I will comply
- 16 with all Minnesota court orders relative to Category
- 17 I and Category II documents.
- MR. O'FALLON: Thank you for that.
- 19 Also just clarify for the record that to the
- 20 extent Ms. Jessup has been shown some documents that
- 21 are part of larger exhibits designated as Category I,
- 22 those documents were in fact Ms. Jessup's documents
- 23 that she worked with on a daily basis; correct?
- 24 THE WITNESS: That is correct, sir.
- MR. FERGUSON: Let me say something.

- 1 MR. MONICA: Yes.
- 2 MR. FERGUSON: Washington's here at the
- 3 invitation of Lorillard, not at Washington's
- 4 insistence that it be allowed to attend. The
- 5 Washington orders do provide that Washington has
- 6 access clearly to Category I material. In the
- 7 interest of everyone's comfort, I'm perfectly willing
- 8 to return the transcript and exhibits, but I think
- 9 we'll find that Washington is entitled to receive
- 10 them.
- 11 MR. MONICA: I -- I think you are right,
- 12 and I do appreciate your cooperation just to give us
- 13 time to ferret all this out and make sure we're doing
- 14 it properly.
- MR. FERGUSON: Sure.
- MR. MONICA: I have a list here of pages
- 17 that Mr. Roston was able to get for us by looking at
- 18 Exhibit Number 1019 of the Category I information,
- 19 and rather than reciting all these in the record,
- 20 shall we -- you just want to mark this as an
- 21 exhibit --
- MR. O'FALLON: Let's do that.
- MR. MONICA: -- so we have it?
- MR. O'FALLON: Can we mark as Plaintiffs'
- 25 Exhibit 1021 the list of those pages that will be

- 1 pulled from 1019 and sealed pending further agreement
- 2 by parties.
- 3 (Jessup Plaintiff's Exhibit 1021 was marked
- for identification.)
- 5 (Discussion off the stenographic record.)
- 6 MR. MONICA: Since Mr. Roston is counsel
- 7 for Lorillard, I'm going to ask him to state on the
- 8 record, identify what this is and how it was
- 9 constructed so we just have that on the record
- 10 briefly.
- 11 MR. ROSTON: I compiled this document by
- 12 reviewing Exhibit 1019 and writing down the Bates
- 13 numbers that are marked with the following legend:
- 14 "CONFIDENTIAL CATEGORY I: MINNESOTA TOBACCO
- 15 LITIGATION."
- MR. MONICA: Out of what exhibit?
- 17 MR. ROSTON: Exhibit 1019.
- 18 MR. MONICA: Thank you.
- MR. O'FALLON: And with that, we will make
- 20 this a -- an exhibit to the deposition, and the court
- 21 reporter will pull these pages and seal them again
- 22 pending further notification from the parties. And I
- 23 would suggest, Mr. Monica, that you and I can perhaps
- 24 have correspondence back and forth, resolve this
- 25 issue and then notify the court reporter for their

- 1 comfort.
- 2 MR. MONICA: Yes, I agree.
- 3 MR. O'FALLON: And with that, we'll move on
- 4 to the non-controversial part of the testimony.
- 5 BY MR. O'FALLON:
- 6 Q. When we left off, I was asking about electronic
- 7 documents at Lorillard. Is it Lorillard's practice
- 8 to make paper copies of the documents it keeps stored
- 9 by electronic media?
- 10 A. As an overall practice?
- 11 Q. Yes.
- 12 A. No, sir.
- MR. MONICA: Counsel, there is an agreement
- 14 in Minnesota on electronic data.
- 15 MR. O'FALLON: Right. It was my
- 16 understanding that the only electronic data that
- 17 would be produced is that data which has actually
- 18 been printed. Is that your understanding?
- 19 MR. MONICA: That -- that which was printed
- 20 at the time of the agreement.
- MR. O'FALLON: Okay.
- MR. MONICA: That date I don't have in my
- 23 mind. I mean, I don't recall --
- MR. O'FALLON: Right.
- MR. MONICA: -- specifically.

- 1 MR. O'FALLON: Or -- or that had been
- 2 printed. I mean, it was my understanding that, for
- 3 instance, with E-mail, that if -- if it was a normal
- 4 practice of that employee to print their E-mails off
- 5 and put them in their files, that that would be
- 6 produced, but that otherwise we wouldn't ask for
- 7 anybody to go back in and print all the E-mails. Is
- 8 that what you understand?
- 9 MR. MONICA: That's basically right. It's
- 10 my understanding that if in doing the collection you
- 11 found an employee had printed out E-mail and there
- 12 were hard copies of his or her E-mail in the file at
- 13 the time of the collection or at the time -- I guess
- 14 it's the date of the collection, they would be
- 15 collected.
- 16 MR. O'FALLON: That was my understanding as
- 17 well.
- 18 BY MR. O'FALLON:
- 19 Q. And that's -- my question was just to know what
- 20 Lorillard's policies were regarding printing out of
- 21 E-mail, and -- and I was just wondering whether or
- 22 not it was a Lorillard practice to print that out or
- 23 not.
- 24 A. I believe in my conversations with employees,
- 25 et cetera, some employees do print it; some do not.

- 1 Q. Okay. But there's no overall Lorillard policy
- 2 on that; correct?
- 3 A. That you must print your E-mail?
- 4 Q. Right.
- 5 A. Not to my knowledge.
- 6 Q. Are there any other kind -- kinds of data that
- 7 are kept in a purely electronic medium without being
- 8 printed out, such as, for instance, now that we're in
- 9 the -- the computer age, occasionally you can get
- 10 entire books and that -- that on CD ROM? Was that
- 11 true in 1994, before that time, to the best of your
- 12 knowledge?
- 13 A. Are you asking specifically about books, outside
- 14 information or --
- 15 Q. I was just using that as an example.
- 16 A. So any information that we would have that would
- 17 be strictly in electronic form --
- 18 Q. Correct.
- 19 A. -- that exists in no other form?
- 20 Q. Right. And this is prior to 1994.
- 21 A. I need to think about that a minute. I want to
- 22 give you an entirely accurate, as I can, answer.
- 23 MR. MONICA: And again and I'm -- I'm going
- 24 to insert an objection that it's beyond the scope of
- 25 the notice.

- 1 A. From my present recollection, I -- I cannot
- 2 think of anything.
- 3 Q. Okay. For stored documents, does Lorillard
- 4 microfiche any of its stored documents?
- 5 A. In the stored records center?
- 6 Q. Yes.
- 7 A. Have not.
- 8 Q. Okay. Does Lorillard store any --
- 9 A. Let me caveat that. There may be some reprints
- 10 that have been microfilmed that also exist in paper.
- 11 Q. Okay.
- 12 A. I --
- 13 Q. When you're speaking about reprints, are you
- 14 talking about published information?
- 15 A. Journal articles, et cetera.
- 16 Q. Has Lorillard introduced any document retention
- 17 process whereby documents are saved on any other form
- 18 of electronic media as opposed to keep -- keeping
- 19 them in -- in paper form? And what I'm talking about
- 20 here is to whether Lorillard has implemented any
- 21 electronic storage system such that they can then
- 22 take their paper documents and throw them away.
- 23 A. There has not been a major undertaking of that
- 24 regard. In our library, a copy of a document is sent
- 25 to the library. It is eventually -- of our internal

- 1 reports, eventually microfilmed. At that point
- 2 someone might not keep their personal copy because
- 3 they know it's in the library.
- 4 As far as electronics, I can't think of anything
- 5 at the moment. And here again, I have not really
- 6 reviewed that area, so I don't want to be not
- 7 forthcoming, but I --
- 8 Q. And I was really more referring to your area; --
- 9 A. Uh-huh.
- 10 Q. -- that is, stored documents.
- 11 A. Uh-huh.
- 12 Q. And I ask this question simply because in these
- 13 days in the fashion in which electronics are now
- 14 catching up and moving back with us, I mean, just I
- 15 don't know whether -- whether any corporations have
- 16 decided that as opposed to microfiche they're going
- 17 to put their documents on CD ROMs, but I was just
- 18 asking whether Lorillard had ever actually done
- 19 that.
- 20 A. Not within my purview, within my department and
- 21 in the records center, no.
- 22 Q. It's really a technology base question. The
- 23 technology is becoming so amazing that --
- Let me talk for a minute and kind of bring to a
- 25 close some of the collection questions we've been

- 1 asking.
- When did Lorillard complete its document
- 3 collection in the 1995-1996 time frame?
- 4 A. The actual collection effort, the review of
- 5 files, was completed I believe approximately May 24,
- 6 last week of May 1996.
- 7 Q. Had documents been copied and sent to Shook
- 8 Hardy on a rolling basis?
- 9 A. Yes, they had.
- 10 Q. And when did you actually start the physical
- 11 document review?
- 12 A. Physical document review was begun the first two
- 13 weeks of November 1995.
- 14 Q. And in fact was -- was your particular area, the
- 15 stored documents, one of the first places that it was
- 16 started?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. And it's my understanding that your document
- 19 review was not done with respect to any individual
- 20 state but was done generally for overall
- 21 smoking-and-health litigation; correct?
- 22 A. That is correct.
- 23 Q. Are you aware that there have been subsequent
- 24 orders in Minnesota compelling the production of
- 25 additional documents regarding additional subjects?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Have additional document reviews been undertaken
- 3 since May of 1996 to comply with those orders?
- 4 A. I do know of one, and that is formula
- 5 correspondence.
- 6 Q. Okay. And this is not the formula review, so we
- 7 won't go into that. It was my understanding that had
- 8 been done separately.
- 9 Other than the formula review, anything else?
- 10 A. I believe I -- I do recall that there were some
- 11 other requests, and our review and collection had
- 12 already handled those and so we did not have to go
- 13 back and re-collect or rereview for collect --
- 14 collection purposes.
- 15 Q. So at that point it was simply a matter of your
- 16 attorneys going back to the documents that are
- 17 already collected and deciding whether or not they
- 18 believed there was anything responsive at that
- 19 point?
- 20 A. That is my understanding.
- 21 Q. I'd like to talk with you for a minute about
- 22 document security. Documents at Lorillard are not
- 23 available to just anybody; correct?
- 24 A. No, sir.
- 25 Q. Documents at Lorillard are only available to

- 1 certain employees within Lorillard; correct?
- 2 A. Could you clarify your question a bit more? I'm
- 3 not sure I understand exactly --
- 4 Q. Certainly.
- 5 A. -- what you're asking.
- 6 Q. Do you have document security measures in place
- 7 at Lorillard?
- 8 A. Are you referring to active files, stored
- 9 files?
- 10 Q. Let's talk about stored files first.
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And what are those procedures?
- 13 A. Those procedures are that when we enter the
- 14 records center, there is a notation made in our
- 15 security guard's log. There are very few individuals
- 16 with keys to the records center. We do not permit
- 17 people to go and check their own cartons of records.
- 18 We provide the service in my department of pulling
- 19 records for them. It's under lock and key.
- 20 Q. And people from the outside, third parties,
- 21 cannot access your files unless you specifically
- 22 decide that they can access those files; correct?
- 23 A. That is correct.
- 24 Q. So it wouldn't be possible for someone to come
- 25 in and put information in your stored files, correct,

- 1 from the outside?
- 2 A. No, sir.
- 3 Q. Any information that goes in those files is
- 4 going to handle -- be handled by you or your staff;
- 5 correct?
- 6 A. That is correct.
- 7 Q. Just as any information that comes out of those
- 8 files to be given to someone is going to be handled
- 9 by you and your staff; correct?
- 10 A. That is correct.
- 11 Q. Let's talk about documents in the other parts of
- 12 Lorillard, the active files. A person can't just
- 13 come in off the street and access an active file at
- 14 Lorillard; correct?
- 15 A. I would not imagine how that could be
- 16 accomplished, no.
- 17 Q. Is there security in place at Lorillard that
- 18 would prevent that kind of thing from happening?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And what are those security measures?
- 21 A. May I speak to Lorillard, New York, in the
- 22 beginning? I can't get in on the floors unless I am
- 23 buzzed through by a receptionist and I have to tell
- 24 her who I'm visiting. We have receptionists at both
- 25 the research center and our manufacturing area and we

- 1 do have guards stationed, security guards stationed.
- 2 We must show our identification badges.
- 3 Q. The documents are considered valuable corporate
- 4 assets by Lorillard; correct?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. All the documents that were reviewed for
- 7 production at any time, from 1984 forward, were
- 8 documents that were kept by Lorillard; correct?
- 9 A. Or its employees.
- 10 Q. Right. And when I speak of Lorillard, I'm
- 11 really speaking of the corporation and its employees
- 12 because the corporation as an entity only operates
- 13 through its employees.
- 14 A. I understand.
- 15 Q. The employees at Lorillard are told to keep
- 16 their documents secure; correct?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. Employees at Lorillard are expected to keep all
- 19 documents that they deem important in order to carry
- 20 out their day-to-day functions; correct?
- 21 A. That is correct.
- 22 Q. Are they told only to keep those documents that
- 23 are important to their day-to-day functions as
- 24 employees of Lorillard?
- MR. MONICA: Object to the form of the

- 1 question.
- 2 A. I've never -- I do --
- I do not ever recall any directive to not keep
- 4 anything. The -- it's the employees -- they know
- 5 what they need to work with daily.
- 6 Q. And as a general practice, the Lorillard
- 7 employees keep only those documents that they need to
- 8 perform their functions at Lorillard; correct?
- 9 MR. MONICA: Again object to the form of
- 10 the question.
- 11 A. They would certainly keep the documents they
- 12 need to do their daily business.
- 13 Q. Many of the documents that Lorillard employees
- 14 retain in their files are documents either drafted by
- 15 themselves or written by other Lorillard employees;
- 16 correct?
- 17 A. Many of them?
- 18 Q. Yeah.
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. However, there are -- are also some documents
- 21 that are kept in Lorillard files that come from
- 22 outside sources; correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. For instance, Lorillard has other people do
- 25 marketing research for them; correct?

- 1 MR. MONICA: Beyond the scope of the
- 2 notice, object.
- 3 A. I know we use some services or we get -- obtain
- 4 data from services.
- 5 Q. And you obtain that data in lieu of collecting
- 6 that doc -- that data yourself; correct?
- 7 A. I would assume.
- 8 Q. Lorillard's a large corporation; correct?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. If it chose to undertake a process to collect
- 11 that data, if it went to enough expense, it could
- 12 probably collect that data; correct?
- MR. MONICA: Object, beyond the notice.
- 14 A. Sir, I don't have enough knowledge to -- to
- 15 understand what that would encompass.
- 16 Q. You understand that Lorillard, for instance,
- 17 tracks sales of cigarettes and brand share; correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Some of that information is collected by outside
- 20 services; correct?
- 21 A. I believe that's the case.
- 22 Q. Lorillard obtains that information and keeps
- 23 that information because it needs it to conduct its
- 24 business; correct?
- MR. MONICA: Object, beyond the notice.

- 1 A. I would assume.
- 2 Q. When looking through some of the -- the
- 3 documents we've looked through here from the stored
- 4 files, we've seen references to The Center for
- 5 Tobacco Research and The Tobacco Institute; correct?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Lorillard is a member of those two
- 8 organizations; correct?
- 9 MR. MONICA: Object, beyond the notice.
- 10 A. Tobacco Institute?
- 11 Q. Yes.
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And how about CTR?
- 14 A. I'm not sure how that operates.
- 15 Q. The documents you store concerning CTR and TI
- 16 are documents that Lorillard received in its ordinary
- 17 course of business from those organizations;
- 18 correct?
- 19 MR. MONICA: Object to the form of the
- 20 question.
- 21 A. Since I have not reviewed those individual
- 22 files, a reasoned opinion, yes.
- 23 Q. Okay. Did you work for Dr. Spears for a time?
- 24 A. Yes, I did.
- 25 Q. Was Dr. Spears a member of either CTR or TI or

- 1 the working groups?
- 2 MR. MONICA: Object, beyond the notice.
- 3 A. I can state that there was information in his
- 4 files relative to that. The exact relationships, I
- 5 do not know.
- 6 Q. Okay. The following questions I just want to
- 7 put in because I want to make the record clear and
- 8 complete here. If we could, let's go back to Exhibit
- 9 1001, the deposition notice.
- 10 (Discussion off the stenographic record.)
- 11 BY MR. O'FALLON:
- 12 Q. Do you have the deposition notice, which has
- 13 been marked as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1001, in front of
- 14 you?
- 15 A. I do.
- 16 Q. And could you please turn to the second page of
- 17 that.
- THE REPORTER: That's Exhibit 1000.
- 19 MR. O'FALLON: Oh, I'm sorry. I said it
- 20 again, didn't I? I'm sorry, this is 1000.
- 21 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
- 22 Q. Would you look at the last paragraph on that
- 23 page.
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Could you just read that for the record,

- 1 please.
- 2 A. "Pursuant to Rule 30.02(f), Lorillard shall
- 3 designate one or more representatives who shall be
- 4 qualified to testify as to matters known or
- 5 reasonably available to Lorillard concerning the
- 6 collection and production of documents produced in
- 7 the above captioned litigation."
- 8 Q. Okay. We've established as we've sat here for
- 9 the last few hours that you cannot tell me what
- 10 documents were actually collected from Lorillard's
- 11 files; correct?
- 12 A. That is correct.
- 13 Q. And we've established that you --
- MR. MONICA: Wait. You cut her off.
- MR. O'FALLON: Oh, I'm sorry.
- MR. MONICA: Let her finish.
- 17 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 18 A. I cannot determine if every document that was
- 19 collected. I can say that, with our rules, process,
- 20 procedures, that I know our files were reviewed; if
- 21 those files were within the range of the documents we
- 22 were attempting to collect, that they were copied.
- 23 Those copies were forwarded to our archives for
- 24 review. If they were responsive, they have been
- 25 produced.

- 1 Q. Well you actually don't know what the attorneys
- 2 decided to copy; correct?
- 3 A. Sir, I had sat in on several collection
- 4 interviews. I watched foldering of offices in many
- 5 multiple locations. I am thoroughly satisfied that
- 6 the process was well done. I audited the process.
- 7 Q. That's not my -- that's not my question.
- 8 A. Okay.
- 9 Q. We have went through numerous documents and
- 10 references to numerous documents from your files,
- 11 from the cold-storage files, and I have asked you
- 12 specifically could you tell me whether that document
- 13 was copied, and time after time you've answered no,
- 14 you couldn't tell me that; correct?
- 15 A. That is correct.
- 16 Q. So what you have observed is that you've
- 17 observed lawyers going through files and foldering
- 18 some documents; correct?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- 20 Q. You didn't actually go and check to see which
- 21 exact documents they foldered; correct?
- 22 A. In the process of watching the foldering, as we
- 23 went through, I do not recall file titles, but I
- 24 would go behind the attorneys to see that I was
- 25 comfortable.

- 1 Q. Okay.
- 2 A. I did what I would call an audit process, but
- 3 no, I do not recall file titles, et cetera.
- 4 Q. I believe you testified that there were some 2.7
- 5 million pages of documents that were actually
- 6 collected out of Lorillard's files during that time
- 7 period; correct?
- 8 A. That is my understanding.
- 9 Q. How many of that 2.7 million pages of documents
- 10 did you physically see taken out of files?
- 11 A. I can't estimate that, but it was -- it was 2 or
- 12 3 percent perhaps. I mean --
- 13 Q. And again to go back, you can't tell me any
- 14 documents that have specifically been produced other
- 15 than the documents that were specifically produced
- 16 out of your files, the indexes that we have talked
- 17 about here today; correct?
- 18 THE WITNESS: There are window washers.
- 19 Excuse me, I didn't mean to jump.
- 20 MR. MONICA: Could we -- let's have the --
- 21 I know there was a little distraction because we have
- 22 window washers and somebody hit the window out there,
- 23 and we're going to have the question repeated,
- 24 reread, please.
- THE WITNESS: Yes, please. I'm sorry.

- 1 MR. O'FALLON: Yeah, we actually thought we
- 2 were going to have somebody join us at the
- 3 deposition.
- 4 MR. MONICA: Yeah, it sounded like he was
- 5 coming through the window.
- 6 (Discussion off the stenographic record.)
- 7 (Record read by the court reporter.)
- 8 A. That is correct.
- 9 Q. And when you received that notice, did you
- 10 inform counsel that you would not be able to discuss
- 11 what had actually been produced in this litigation?
- MR. MONICA: Objection, calls for attorney
- 13 client and I instruct the witness not to answer,
- 14 attorney-client privilege.
- 15 Are you following my instructions not to
- 16 answer?
- 17 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- 18 MR. O'FALLON: Okay. And just so we're
- 19 clear, it's -- it's your objection that what she told
- 20 you about her ability to testify here today is
- 21 protected?
- MR. MONICA: Yes.
- 23 BY MR. O'FALLON:
- 24 Q. Did you take any steps to prepare yourself to
- 25 testify about documents that were produced to the

- 1 Minnesota plaintiffs?
- 2 A. Produced?
- 3 Q. Produced.
- 4 A. No, sir.
- 5 Q. So you made no effort to talk to the attorneys
- 6 and find out what categories of documents had been
- 7 produced in Minnesota; correct?
- 8 A. No, sir, I didn't.
- 9 Q. You took no effort to make sure that documents
- 10 had been produced relevant to the various requests
- 11 for production of documents made by plaintiffs in the
- 12 Minnesota --
- MR. MONICA: Objection.
- 14 Q. -- litigation; correct?
- MR. MONICA: Object to that. It's contrary
- 16 to her testimony. Object to the form of the
- 17 question.
- MR. O'FALLON: Can you answer.
- 19 THE WITNESS: Would you -- I'm sorry, would
- 20 you repeat that again, please. I'm not trying to be
- 21 difficult. I want to be accurate.
- 22 (Record read by the court reporter.)
- 23 MR. MONICA: Again I have the same
- 24 objection. The witness has just testified on that
- 25 subject just a few moments ago, and I object, it

- 1 misstates her testimony.
- 2 A. From the standpoint of trying to identify
- 3 individual documents of what was produced, I do not
- 4 have the knowledge. I know our process. I monitored
- 5 the process, and I was satisfied with the process
- 6 that was in place.
- 7 Q. Okay. And again, the process we're talking
- 8 about is the review and collection process that took
- 9 place at Lorillard; correct?
- 10 A. That is correct.
- 11 Q. Do you have knowledge about the production
- 12 process that took place at Shook, Hardy & Bacon?
- 13 MR. MONICA: Object to that form of the
- 14 question.
- MR. O'FALLON: Strike that.
- 16 Q. Do you have knowledge about the production
- 17 process that took place at your lawyers' offices?
- MR. MONICA: Object to that also as the
- 19 form of the question.
- 20 A. With regard to the fact that I know there was a
- 21 production process. I do not know individual
- 22 documents that were produced.
- 23 Q. And just to clarify, you don't know what
- 24 documents were produced responsive to Minnesota's
- 25 individual requests; correct?

- 1 A. No, sir, I do not.
- 2 MR. MONICA: There of course is a 4B
- 3 index.
- 4 Q. Did you participate in the production of the 4B
- 5 index?
- 6 A. I did not.
- 7 Q. Did you review the 4B index prior to coming here
- 8 today?
- 9 A. I did not.
- 10 Q. Do you have access to the 4B index?
- 11 A. No, sir.
- 12 Q. And you didn't attempt to gain any knowledge
- 13 about the 4B indexes before coming here today to
- 14 testify; correct?
- 15 A. No, I did not.
- 16 Q. Do you understand what a 4B index is?
- 17 A. I do understand it.
- 18 Q. You understand that's a list of all documents
- 19 produced; correct?
- 20 A. I do understand that.
- 21 Q. And certain categories of information about
- 22 that?
- 23 A. I do understand that.
- 24 Q. Was the 4B index created by employees of
- 25 Lorillard?

- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. Who created the 4B index?
- 3 A. Counsel.
- 4 Q. Okay.
- 5 MR. O'FALLON: I would like to ask a few
- 6 questions about the 4A index. Why don't we just, for
- 7 protection sake, ask other people to leave the room.
- 8 MR. MONICA: I agree.
- 9 MR. O'FALLON: Is that acceptable?
- MR. MONICA: Yes.
- 11 THE REPORTER: Off the record, please.
- 12 (Discussion off the record.)
- MR. FERGUSON: I would -- at your request I
- 14 will leave, but I would advise you that the
- 15 Washington court has ordered production of the 4A
- 16 indices in the Washington litigation to the same
- 17 extent and a little bit further than ordered in
- 18 Minnesota.
- 19 MR. MONICA: I --
- 20 MR. FERGUSON: But I'll go ahead and
- 21 leave.
- 22 MR. MONICA: I'm aware of that, but I think
- 23 we're still in a position where the time for asking
- 24 for reconsideration or appeal or whatever is still
- 25 pending, so until that time passes, I think it would

1	be better	just to comply with counsel's request.
2		MR. FERGUSON: You're correct.
3		MR. O'FALLON: And more importantly, I
4	can't disc	close that information, so
5		MR. MONICA: Right.
6		THE REPORTER: Off the record, please.
7		(Discussion off the record.)
8		(Counsel for B.A.T. Industries and
9		the State of Washington left the
10		deposition room.)
11		(The following portion identified as
12		"CONFIDENTIAL - QUESTIONS CONCERNING
13		4A INDICES" will be contained only in
14		Plaintiffs' and Defendant Lorillard
15		Tobacco Company's counsels' transcripts
16		until clarification by The Court.)
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	CONFIDENTIAL	-	QUESTIONS	CONCERNING	4A	INDICES
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

1	CONFIDENTIAL	-	QUESTIONS	CONCERNING	4A	INDICES
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

1	CONFIDENTIAL	-	QUESTIONS	CONCERNING	4A	INDICES
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

1	CONFIDENTIAL	-	QUESTIONS	CONCERNING	4A	INDICES
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

1	CONFIDENTIAL	-	QUESTIONS	CONCERNING	4A	INDICES
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

1	CONFIDENTIAL - QUESTIONS CONCERNING 4A INDICES
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	(The portion designated "CONFIDENTIAL -
19	QUESTIONS CONCERNING 4A INDICES" was
20	concluded, and all counsel indicated on
21	the appearances page of this transcript
22	returned to the deposition room for the
23	following proceedings.)
24	
25	

- 1 BY MR. O'FALLON:
- 2 Q. I just have a couple issues I want to close up
- 3 on.
- 4 Ms. Jessup, you've testified that by 1992, 3.5
- 5 million pages of Lorillard documents were sitting in
- 6 Kansas City at the Shook Hardy archives; correct?
- 7 A. I believe it was by 1994 that that total -- all
- 8 prior collections prior to '95-'96 totaled 3.5
- 9 million pages.
- 10 Q. And it was my understanding you completed your
- 11 last collection in 1992.
- 12 A. I think we had one in '94 as well.
- 13 Q. So you had a '94 collection as well, and then
- 14 the '95-'96 collection?
- 15 A. I believe that is correct.
- 16 Q. And what was looked for in the '94 collection?
- 17 A. I believe that was more specific to
- 18 ignition-propensity issues.
- 19 Q. And when you say "ignition propensity," are you
- 20 talking about claims in which it's alleged that
- 21 houses were started on fire by cigarettes?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And was it your recollection that -- that that
- 24 1994 search was limited to that subject matter?
- 25 A. I'm not sure if it was entirely limited, but I

- 1 believe that was the -- the focus of the
- 2 information. Here again, anything else that we
- 3 needed at that time would have been collected or
- 4 anything we might potentially need.
- 5 Q. Do you know approximately how many documents
- 6 were collected during that particular collection?
- 7 And again I'm talking about pages of documents.
- 8 A. I don't recall specifically.
- 9 Q. Do you have an approximation?
- 10 A. My present rec -- recollection is something like
- 11 80 to 100,000 pages maybe. I think that was a
- 12 smaller collection.
- 13 Q. The previous collections prior to the 1994
- 14 collection were generically related to smoking and
- 15 health; correct?
- 16 A. Generically, yes.
- 17 Q. So of the 3.5 million pages of documents that
- 18 were in Kansas City in 1994, approximately 3.4 of
- 19 those -- 3.4 million pages were related to
- 20 smoking-and-health issues; correct?
- 21 A. They would have been collected relative to
- 22 smoking-and-health issues, with a caveat that I am
- 23 not absolutely certain that was the correct number of
- 24 pages in '94. I believe I'm accurate.
- 25 Q. Do you know whether or not those 3.5 million

- 1 pages that were in Shook Hardy's archives in 1994 had
- 2 previously been reviewed by attorneys?
- 3 A. You're speaking of any point in time?
- 4 Q. Yes.
- 5 A. It is my belief that they had been, yes.
- 6 Q. Is it also your understanding that they had been
- 7 specifically reviewed for purposes of producing them
- 8 in litigation should it occur?
- 9 A. That is my understanding.
- 10 Q. Do you have any familiarity with privilege
- 11 logs?
- 12 A. I know what they are.
- 13 Q. Okay. And those are logs created by the lawyers
- 14 that contain certain information about privileged
- 15 documents; correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Or at least documents the lawyers are contending
- 18 are privileged; correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Do you know whether privilege logs had been
- 21 produced for the 3.5 --
- 22 (Telephone interruption.)
- THE REPORTER: Off the record, please.
- 24 (Discussion off the record.)
- 25 BY MR. O'FALLON:

- 1 Q. Do you know whether as of 1994 any of the 3.5
- 2 million documents that were sitting in Shook, Hardy &
- 3 Bacon's archives had been denoted as privileged as of
- 4 1994?
- 5 A. I do not know.
- 6 Q. Do you know whether any privilege review of
- 7 those documents had taken place prior to 1994?
- 8 A. I do not know.
- 9 MR. MONICA: Objection. Again I object to
- 10 this line of questioning as beyond the scope of the
- 11 notice.
- 12 Q. It's then my understanding that in the 1995 and
- 13 1996 production some 2.5 million pages were added to
- 14 the collection at Shook Hardy; correct?
- 15 A. 2.7.
- 16 Q. 2.7. Which would bring the total number of
- 17 collected pages of Lorillard documents sitting in the
- 18 Shook Hardy archives to 5.2 million pages; correct?
- 19 A. 6.2, wouldn't it be? I've not added them. 3.5
- 20 and --
- 21 Q. You know what, you're probably right.
- 22 A. 6.2.
- 23 Q. That's why I'm not a mathematician.
- 24 So by the end of your document production in May
- 25 of 1996, 6.2 million pages of Lorillard documents

- 1 were sitting in the archives at Shook, Hardy & Bacon;
- 2 correct?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. You testified yesterday as to the total number
- 5 of documents that have been produced in Minnesota;
- 6 correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Have you also been kept apprised of the number
- 9 of documents that have been produced as they've been
- 10 produced?
- 11 A. I've seen information related to that.
- 12 Q. Okay. Have you seen copies of the letters that
- 13 counsel have filed with the court stating month by
- 14 month how many documents they've produced?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Was it your understanding that as of September
- 17 of 1996 Lorillard had only produced 587,000 pages of
- 18 its documents to the Minnesota depository?
- 19 A. I would have to see the letter because I don't
- 20 remember all the -- the math either, sir.
- MR. O'FALLON: Mark that as an exhibit.
- 22 (Jessup Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1022 was marked
- for identification.)
- 24 BY MR. O'FALLON:
- 25 Q. Ask you to look at Exhibit 1022. It's a letter

- 1 dated March 3rd, 1996 to the court concerning
- 2 Lorillard's document production.
- 3 A. Did you say "March 3rd," sir?
- 4 Q. I'm sorry, September 3rd, 1996.
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Does that indicate there have been 587,000
- 7 documents produced to Minnesota at that point in
- 8 time?
- 9 A. 587,000 pages.
- 10 Q. And is --
- 11 Do you know whether or not that -- those
- 12 documents had been produced from the 3.5 million
- 13 documents that were already in Shook, Hardy & Bacon's
- 14 archives and had been there since 1994?
- 15 A. I do not know, sir.
- 16 Q. Do you know how many of the 3.5 million pages of
- 17 Lorillard documents that were in Kansas City as of
- 18 1994 were in fact produced in Minnesota?
- 19 A. I do not know.
- 20 Q. Who would know that information?
- 21 A. Counsel.
- 22 Q. Outside counsel?
- 23 A. Outside counsel.
- MR. O'FALLON: At this point I'm going to
- 25 end my questioning; however, I am going to continue

- 1 it with the right to come back because it's going to
- 2 be my position that the witness produced has not been
- 3 able to testify on what documents were actually
- 4 produced, nor has she been able to testify on what
- 5 documents were actually collected from Lorillard's
- 6 files, and again that was the scope of the notice.
- 7 And let me just ask a clarifying question of
- 8 Mr. Monica. Mr. Monica, do you have another witness
- 9 today who can testify on those two subject matters?
- 10 MR. MONICA: Mrs. Jessup is the witness we
- 11 have designated on that subject. I have no other
- 12 witnesses with me today. I disagree with your
- 13 comment. I think she has fully testified and has
- 14 responded to all of your questions and we have met
- 15 our obligation to provide a witness pursuant to your
- 16 30.02(f) deposition notice. It's up to you to ask
- 17 the correct questions to elicit the information, and
- 18 you were free to ask any question you desired to do
- 19 so. We do not agree that you may keep the deposition
- 20 open. It is our position that you have completed
- 21 your examination and we need not produce anyone else
- 22 to -- on this particular notice.
- 23 MR. O'FALLON: Okay. And just so my
- 24 position is clear, I have asked numerous times
- 25 whether or not this witness can tell me what

- 1 documents were produced, and my answer -- and the
- 2 answer has been consistently, "No, I cannot." I have
- 3 also asked her numerous times whether or not she can
- 4 tell me precisely what documents were collected
- 5 during the various document reviews that were
- 6 undertaken at Lorillard, and numerous times she has
- 7 answered, "No, I cannot."
- 8 MR. MONICA: I think we both have stated
- 9 our position.
- 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 11 BY MR. MONICA:
- 12 Q. I do have a very short few questions I'd like to
- 13 ask Mrs. Jessup, and they pertain to some questions
- 14 that Mr. O'Fallon asked you yesterday involving Loews
- 15 Corporation, and I'm looking in particular at
- 16 paragraph -- or pardon me, at page 21 of your
- 17 deposition, and the question was that began the
- 18 series of questions and answers -- and this is a
- 19 question by Mr. O'Fallon -- "Right," period. "It's
- 20 my understanding that there are certain departments
- 21 between Lorillard and Loews where there are certain
- 22 functions that are shared, "period. "Is that your
- 23 testimony," question mark.
- 24 With regard to your testimony on -- in
- 25 responding to Mr. O'Fallon's questions on these

- 1 functions that he referred to as shared, were you
- 2 referring there to the functions that Loews provides
- 3 to Lorillard under the services agreement --
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 Q. -- between those two entities?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And do you understand that a Mr. Mark Schwartz
- 8 of Loews Corporation has filed affidavits stating the
- 9 nature of that agreement and the compensation passing
- 10 between the two corporations for those services?
- 11 A. Yes.
- MR. O'FALLON: Objection, leading.
- 13 Q. And as far as you know, would he be the person
- 14 who would be most knowledgable on that subject?
- MR. O'FALLON: Objection, leading.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And would you be in a position yourself,
- 18 Mrs. Jessup, to know anything about the compensation
- 19 paid by Loews to Lorillard or vice versa for any
- 20 services?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. And to your knowledge, does Loews Corporation
- 23 have any tobacco-type documents belonging to
- 24 Lorillard?
- 25 A. They would simply have information to conduct

- 1 those service functions.
- 2 Q. Like the personnel?
- 3 A. Like human resources.
- 4 Q. Are you saying they'd have access to information
- 5 to provide services?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. But to your knowledge, would they have any
- 8 smoking-and-health documents?
- 9 A. Not to my knowledge.
- 10 Q. They would have no tobacco smoking-and-health
- 11 documents?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. No -- and they --
- 14 Loews, would it have any advertising documents
- 15 pertaining to Lorillard?
- 16 A. Not to my knowledge.
- 17 O. So if --
- 18 If one wanted to know the extent of the services
- 19 and the compensation running between Loews and
- 20 Lorillard for the services, to your knowledge, would
- 21 Mr. Schwartz be the person that would have that
- 22 knowledge?
- 23 A. Yes, he would.
- MR. O'FALLON: Objection, leading.
- MR. MONICA: No further questions.

1 ADVERSE EXAMINATION

- 2 BY MR. O'FALLON:
- 3 Q. Just as a follow-up to that, was any attempt
- 4 made during any of the reviews that we've talked
- 5 about to look through the Loews Corporation files to
- 6 determine whether they in fact had documents related
- 7 to smoking and health?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Specifically --
- 10 A. In the stored records files.
- 11 Q. Okay. Well the stored records files, my
- 12 understanding is that's a Lorillard entity; is that
- 13 correct?
- 14 A. It is located at Lorillard Greensboro and, as
- 15 you will note on your records categories --
- 16 Q. Right.
- 17 A. -- there were some Bulova records. That's a
- 18 Loews category.
- 19 Q. We went through that.
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Outside of the stored records facilities, was
- 22 any attempt made to go to other Loews Corporation
- 23 offices and search those offices for documents
- 24 responsive to the various document requests at any
- 25 time?

- 1 A. Not of which I am aware.
- 2 Q. Have we discussed every location that Lorillard
- 3 has looked for tobacco-related documents?
- 4 A. To the best of my knowledge, over the course of
- 5 the two days, yes.
- 6 MR. O'FALLON: I just have one other brief
- 7 follow-up question.
- 8 It's my understanding based on agreement of
- 9 counsel that no attempt has been made to search
- 10 foreign subsidiaries. Is that your understanding?
- 11 MR. MONICA: I think that's right.
- 12 There -- there are no tobacco subsidiaries. I think
- 13 that was our understanding, yes.
- MR. O'FALLON: Okay.
- 15 Q. And is it your understanding that there -- and I
- 16 may have asked this, and I'm sorry if I'm repeating
- 17 it. I just don't remember it and I remember it's
- 18 something I wanted to ask.
- 19 Was there any research done by any foreign
- 20 subsidiary of Loews -- strike that.
- 21 Was there any research done by any foreign --
- 22 foreign subsidiary of Lorillard's on smoking and
- 23 health to the best of your knowledge?
- MR. MONICA: That's been asked and
- 25 answered.

1	MR. O'FALLON: What did I ask that?
2	THE WITNESS: Yes, you did, sir.
3	MR. O'FALLON: Okay. I wasn't sure. We've
4	been here a while.
5	With that, I will, subject to what I've said
6	previously, suspend my deposition.
7	MR. MONICA: And I I have no further
8	questions and I've already stated my position on
9	counsel's ability to conduct this deposition, and my
10	position is that State of Minnesota has completed its
11	deposition of this witness. And we of course
12	understand the State of Washington will be
13	interrogating Mrs. Jessup right after lunch, and
14	that's fine. Thank you.
15	THE REPORTER: Off the record, please.
16	(Deposition recessed at 11:26 o'clock
17	p.m.)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	I, William C. LaBorde, hereby certify that
3	I am qualified as a verbatim shorthand reporter; that
4	I took in stenographic shorthand the testimony of
5	HALLIE S. JESSUP at the time and place aforesaid; and
6	that the foregoing transcript consisting of pages 265
7	through 364, Volume II, is a true and correct, full
8	and complete transcription of said shorthand notes,
9	to the best of my ability.
10	Dated at Charlotte, North Carolina, this
11	11th day of April 1997.
12	
13	
14	
15	WILLIAM C. LaBORDE
16	Registered Professional Reporter
17	Notary Public
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	I, HALLIE S. JESSUP, the deponent, hereby
3	certify that I have read the foregoing transcript
4	consisting of pages 265 through 364, Volume II, and
5	that said transcript is a true and correct, full and
6	complete transcription of my deposition, except per
7	the attached corrections, if any.
8	
9	(Please check one.)
10	
11	Yes, changes were made per the attached
12	(no.) pages.
13	
14	No changes were made.
15	
16	
17	HALLIE S. JESSUP
18	Deponent
19	
20	Sworn and subscribed to before me this day
21	of 199
22	
23	
24	Notary Public
25	My commission expires: (WCL